From: Windom, John H.

Sent: Friday, June 22, 2018 8:47 AM

To: (b) (6) (b) (6)

(b) (6) @bah.com)

Cc: Truex, Matthew

Subject: RE: Looking for your guidance on FOIA Request

What does OGC say? (b) (5)

The info is what it is? Not my call. There involvement was fostered by the former Secretary not us. We are clean and have written a contract to reflect the requirements of the VA.

Vr John

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Friday, June 22, 2018 5:30:06 AM

To: Gabbert, Jeffrey A. (Mission); 'Cosmas, Laura [USA]' (Cosmas Laura@bah.com)

Cc: Truex, Matthew; Windom, John H.

Subject: FW: Looking for your guidance on FOIA Request

(b) (6) – We are looking for John's/PEO official guidance on the FOIA request concerning comments from Bruce Moskowitz etc. See details below and attached.

Thanks in advance!

(b) (6)

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Thursday, June 21, 2018 9:49 AM

To: Windom, John H. **Cc:** Truex, Matthew

Subject: Looking for your guidance on FOIA Request

John – We have the matrix of external review comments that was specified in the FOIA request and have redacted the specific reviewer names. The spreadsheet includes comments from *all* external reviewers accumulated through a series of calls and emails and may exceed the FOIA ask which was: "copies of a spreadsheet prepared by John Windom's staff since Feb. 1, 2018, showing all the comments made on a conference call with David Shulkin, Scott Blackburn, Marc Sherman and Bruce Moskowitz. The spreadsheet showed how the comments had been addressed and what actions needed to be taken" Any of the many external comments can be spun into a story if that is the intent of the FOIA ask. Should we limit our response to only those comments recorded from Marc Sherman and Bruce Moscowitz? Or is that reading this too literally? The redacted spreadsheet is attached. Note that reviewer 5 is Marc Sherman – speaking on behalf of himself and Bruce Moskowitz.